IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMI	ERICA	
v.		: CRIMINAL NO. 18-101-6
MITCHELL WHITE		: :
	<u>o</u>	ORDER
AND NOW, this	day of	, 2023, upon consideration of the
Government's Motion to Disn	niss Supersedin	g Indictment, it is hereby ORDERED that
Indictment No. 18-101 is disn	nissed without	prejudice, only as to defendant Mitchell White.
		BY THE COURT:
		HON. GENE E.K. PRATTER
		Judge United States District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :

:

v. : CRIMINAL NO. 18-101-6

:

MITCHELL WHITE

GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

The United States of America, by its attorneys, Jacqueline C. Romero, United States

Attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and Mary Kay Costello and Christopher E.

Parisi, Assistant United States Attorneys for the district, pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal

Rules of Criminal Procedure, moves this Court to dismiss the superseding indictment, only

against defendant Mitchell White, and in support of its motion states:

- 1. On January 9, 2019, defendant Mitchell White, along with several other defendants, was charged in a superseding indictment with maintaining drug-involved premises at Advanced Urgent Care ("AUC"), and aiding and abetting, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 856, and conspiracy to distribute controlled substances, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846. Defendant White was charged in Counts One, Two, Three and Five of the superseding indictment. As charged in the superseding indictment, AUC operated multiple medical facilities in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania through which medically unnecessary controlled substances were illegally distributed. The charges against defendant White arose from his employment at AUC.
- 2. Trial in this matter commenced on January 9, 2023. On January 24, 2023, the jury returned verdicts of not guilty on Counts One, Two and Three. The jury was not able to reach a verdict on Count Five and the Court declared a mistrial.

- 3. After continuing to investigate and assess the evidence against this defendant, the government has determined that dismissal of the remaining charge against defendant White is just and proper and promotes the most efficient use of prosecutorial and judicial resources.
- 4. Under these circumstances, and after careful consideration, the government believes that dismissal of the superseding indictment against this defendant is in the interests of justice.

WHEREFORE, the government respectfully requests that the Court dismiss the superseding indictment only against defendant Mitchell White, without prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,

ACQUELINE C. ROMERO

United States Attorney

/s/ Mary Kay Costello

MARY KAY COSTELLO CHRISTOPHER PARISI

Assistant United States Attorneys

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the Government's motion to dismiss superseding indictment has been served on the filing user identified below through the Electronic Case Filing (ECF) system:

Ann Flannery, Esq. 1835 Market Street Suite 2900 Philadelphia, PA 19103 acf@annflannerylaw.com

/s/ Mary Kay Costello
MARY KAY COSTELLO
Assistant United States Attorney

Date: April 6, 2023